

Chapter 3. Operationism and essentialism

Likan Zhan

Beijing Language and Culture University

2021-03-09

<https://likan.info>

zhanlikan@blcu.edu.cn

Contents

- Why Scientists Are Not Essentialists
 - Essentialists Like to Argue About the Meaning of Words
 - Operationists Link Concepts to Observable Events
 - Reliability and Validity
 - Direct and Indirect Operational Definitions
 - Scientific Concepts Evolve
- Operational Definitions in Psychology
 - Operationism as a Humanizing Force
 - Essentialist Questions and the Misunderstanding of Psychology

Essentialism

Essentialism

- This is the idea that the only good scientific theories are those that give ultimate explanations of phenomena in terms of their underlying essences or their essential properties.

Why Scientists Are Not Essentialists

Why Scientists Are Not Essentialists

- Scientists do not claim to produce perfect knowledge.

Why Scientists Are Not Essentialists

- Scientists do not claim to produce perfect knowledge.
- The unique strength of science is not that it is an error-free process, but that it provides a way of eliminating the errors that are part of our knowledge base.

Why Scientists Are Not Essentialists

- Scientists do not claim to produce perfect knowledge.
- The unique strength of science is not that it is an error-free process, but that it provides a way of eliminating the errors that are part of our knowledge base.
- Furthermore, claims of perfect or absolute knowledge tend to choke off inquiry.

Why Scientists Are Not Essentialists

- Scientists do not claim to produce perfect knowledge.
- The unique strength of science is not that it is an error-free process, but that it provides a way of eliminating the errors that are part of our knowledge base.
- Furthermore, claims of perfect or absolute knowledge tend to choke off inquiry.
- Because a free and open pursuit of knowledge is a prerequisite for scientific activity, scientists are always skeptical of claims that the ultimate answer has been found.

Essentialists Like to Argue About the Meaning of Words

Essentialists Like to Argue About the Meaning of Words

- A common indication of the essentialist attitude is an obsessive concern about defining the meaning of terms and concepts before the search for knowledge about them begins.

Essentialists Like to Argue About the Meaning of Words

- A common indication of the essentialist attitude is an obsessive concern about defining the meaning of terms and concepts before the search for knowledge about them begins.
- The meaning of a concept in science is determined after extensive investigation of the phenomena the term relates to, not before such an investigation.

Essentialists Like to Argue About the Meaning of Words

- A common indication of the essentialist attitude is an obsessive concern about defining the meaning of terms and concepts before the search for knowledge about them begins.
- The meaning of a concept in science is determined after extensive investigation of the phenomena the term relates to, not before such an investigation.
- The refinement of conceptual terms comes from the interplay of data and theory that is inherent in the scientific process, not from debates on language usage.

Essentialists Like to Argue About the Meaning of Words

- A common indication of the essentialist attitude is an obsessive concern about defining the meaning of terms and concepts before the search for knowledge about them begins.
- The meaning of a concept in science is determined after extensive investigation of the phenomena the term relates to, not before such an investigation.
- The refinement of conceptual terms comes from the interplay of data and theory that is inherent in the scientific process, not from debates on language usage.
- Essentialism leads us into endless argument about words, and many scientists believe that such language games distract us from matters of substance.

Essentialists Like to Argue About the Meaning of Words

Essentialists Like to Argue About the Meaning of Words

- In short, the explanation of phenomena, not the analysis of language, is the goal of the scientist.

Essentialists Like to Argue About the Meaning of Words

- In short, the explanation of phenomena, not the analysis of language, is the goal of the scientist.
- The key to progress in all the sciences has been to abandon essentialism and to adopt operationism.

Operationists Link Concepts to Observable Events

Operationists Link Concepts to Observable Events

- **Operationism** is simply the idea that concepts in scientific theories must in some way be grounded in, or linked to, observable events that can be measured. Linking the concept to an observable event makes the concept public.

Operationists Link Concepts to Observable Events

- **Operationism** is simply the idea that concepts in scientific theories must in some way be grounded in, or linked to, observable events that can be measured. Linking the concept to an observable event makes the concept public.
- The operational definition removes the concept from the feelings and intuitions of a particular individual and allows it to be tested by anyone who can carry out the measurable operations.

Operationists Link Concepts to Observable Events

Operationists Link Concepts to Observable Events

- What is hunger?

Operationists Link Concepts to Observable Events

- What is hunger?
 - That gnawing feeling I get in my stomach.

Operationists Link Concepts to Observable Events

- What is hunger?
 - That gnawing feeling I get in my stomach.
 - Definitions that involve some measurable period of food deprivation or some physiological index such as blood sugar levels are operational because they involve observable measurements that anyone can carry out.

Operationists Link Concepts to Observable Events

- What is hunger?
 - That gnawing feeling I get in my stomach.
 - Definitions that involve some measurable period of food deprivation or some physiological index such as blood sugar levels are operational because they involve observable measurements that anyone can carry out.
- What is anxiety?

Operationists Link Concepts to Observable Events

- What is hunger?
 - That gnawing feeling I get in my stomach.
 - Definitions that involve some measurable period of food deprivation or some physiological index such as blood sugar levels are operational because they involve observable measurements that anyone can carry out.
- What is anxiety?
 - That uncomfortable, tense feeling I get at times.

Operationists Link Concepts to Observable Events

- What is hunger?
 - That gnawing feeling I get in my stomach.
 - Definitions that involve some measurable period of food deprivation or some physiological index such as blood sugar levels are operational because they involve observable measurements that anyone can carry out.
- What is anxiety?
 - That uncomfortable, tense feeling I get at times.
 - Define the concept by a number of operations such as questionnaires and physiological measurements.

Operationists Link Concepts to Observable Events

Operationists Link Concepts to Observable Events

- It is important to realize that a concept in science is defined by a set of operations, not by just a single behavioral event or task. Instead, several slightly different tasks and behavioral events are used to converge on a concept.

Operationists Link Concepts to Observable Events

- It is important to realize that a concept in science is defined by a set of operations, not by just a single behavioral event or task. Instead, several slightly different tasks and behavioral events are used to converge on a concept.
- Operational definitions force us to think carefully and empirically - in terms of observations in the real world - about how we want to define a concept.

Operationists Link Concepts to Observable Events

Operationists Link Concepts to Observable Events

- Food and Drug Administration

Operationists Link Concepts to Observable Events

- Food and Drug Administration
- An “unacceptable” level of contamination in tomato juice is more than 10 fly eggs per 100 grams.

Operationists Link Concepts to Observable Events

- Food and Drug Administration
- An “unacceptable” level of contamination in tomato juice is more than 10 fly eggs per 100 grams.
- An “unacceptable” level of contamination in mushrooms is five or more maggots 2 millimeters or longer per 100 grams.

Reliability and validity

Reliability and validity

- Operationalizing a concept in science involves **measurement**: assigning a number to an observation via some rule.

Reliability and validity

- Operationalizing a concept in science involves **measurement**: assigning a number to an observation via some rule.
- A number without a unit is ethereal and abstract. With a unit, it acquires meaning - but at the same time, it loses its purity.

Reliability and validity

- Operationalizing a concept in science involves **measurement**: assigning a number to an observation via some rule.
- A number without a unit is ethereal and abstract. With a unit, it acquires meaning - but at the same time, it loses its purity.
- Losing its purity means once we are involved with measurement - once the number has a unit attached - we are suddenly concerned that numbers have the “right” properties.

Reliability and validity

- Operationalizing a concept in science involves **measurement**: assigning a number to an observation via some rule.
- A number without a unit is ethereal and abstract. With a unit, it acquires meaning - but at the same time, it loses its purity.
- Losing its purity means once we are involved with measurement - once the number has a unit attached - we are suddenly concerned that numbers have the “right” properties.
- In science, the “right” properties for a number to have are the properties of reliability and validity.

Reliability and validity

Reliability and validity

- For an operational definition of a concept to be useful, it must display both reliability and validity.

Reliability and validity

- For an operational definition of a concept to be useful, it must display both reliability and validity.
- **Reliability** refers to the consistency of a measuring instrument - whether you would arrive at the same measurement if you assessed the same concept multiple times.

Reliability and validity

- For an operational definition of a concept to be useful, it must display both reliability and validity.
- **Reliability** refers to the consistency of a measuring instrument - whether you would arrive at the same measurement if you assessed the same concept multiple times.
- To be a good operational definition of a concept, the operations assessed must also be a valid measure of that concept.

Reliability and validity

- For an operational definition of a concept to be useful, it must display both reliability and validity.
- **Reliability** refers to the consistency of a measuring instrument - whether you would arrive at the same measurement if you assessed the same concept multiple times.
- To be a good operational definition of a concept, the operations assessed must also be a valid measure of that concept.
- The term **construct validity** refers to whether a measuring instrument (operational definition) is measuring what it is supposed to be measuring.

Direct and indirect operational definitions

Direct and indirect operational definitions

- The link between concepts and observable operations varies greatly in its degree of directness or indirectness. Few scientific concepts are defined almost entirely by observable operations in the real world. Most concepts are defined more indirectly.

Direct and indirect operational definitions

- The link between concepts and observable operations varies greatly in its degree of directness or indirectness. Few scientific concepts are defined almost entirely by observable operations in the real world. Most concepts are defined more indirectly.
- There are concepts that are not directly defined by observable operations but linked to other concepts. These are sometimes called **Latent constructs**.

Direct and indirect operational definitions

- The link between concepts and observable operations varies greatly in its degree of directness or indirectness. Few scientific concepts are defined almost entirely by observable operations in the real world. Most concepts are defined more indirectly.
- There are concepts that are not directly defined by observable operations but linked to other concepts. These are sometimes called **Latent constructs**.
- An example

Direct and indirect operational definitions

- The link between concepts and observable operations varies greatly in its degree of directness or indirectness. Few scientific concepts are defined almost entirely by observable operations in the real world. Most concepts are defined more indirectly.
- There are concepts that are not directly defined by observable operations but linked to other concepts. These are sometimes called **Latent constructs**.
- An example
 - **Type A behavior pattern** has been linked to the incidence of coronary heart disease.

Direct and indirect operational definitions

- The link between concepts and observable operations varies greatly in its degree of directness or indirectness. Few scientific concepts are defined almost entirely by observable operations in the real world. Most concepts are defined more indirectly.
- There are concepts that are not directly defined by observable operations but linked to other concepts. These are sometimes called **Latent constructs**.
- An example
 - **Type A behavior pattern** has been linked to the incidence of coronary heart disease.
 - Type A behavior pattern is actually defined by a set of subordinate concepts: a strong desire to compete, a potential for hostility, time-urgent behavior, an

Direct and indirect operational definitions

Direct and indirect operational definitions

- **Type A behavior pattern** has been linked to the incidence of coronary heart disease.

Direct and indirect operational definitions

- **Type A behavior pattern** has been linked to the incidence of coronary heart disease.
- Type A behavior pattern is actually defined by a set of subordinate concepts: a strong desire to compete, a potential for hostility, time-urgent behavior, an intense drive to accomplish goals, and several others.

Scientific Concepts Evolve

Scientific Concepts Evolve

- It is important to realize that the definition of a scientific concept is not fixed but constantly changing as the observations that apply to the concept are enriched.

Scientific Concepts Evolve

- It is important to realize that the definition of a scientific concept is not fixed but constantly changing as the observations that apply to the concept are enriched.
- If the original operational definition of a concept turns out to be theoretically unfruitful, it will be abandoned in favor of an alternative set of defining operations.

Scientific Concepts Evolve

- It is important to realize that the definition of a scientific concept is not fixed but constantly changing as the observations that apply to the concept are enriched.
- If the original operational definition of a concept turns out to be theoretically unfruitful, it will be abandoned in favor of an alternative set of defining operations.
- Thus, concepts in science are continually evolving and can increase in abstractness as the knowledge concerning them increases.

Scientific Concepts Evolve

- It is important to realize that the definition of a scientific concept is not fixed but constantly changing as the observations that apply to the concept are enriched.
- If the original operational definition of a concept turns out to be theoretically unfruitful, it will be abandoned in favor of an alternative set of defining operations.
- Thus, concepts in science are continually evolving and can increase in abstractness as the knowledge concerning them increases.
- As scientific concepts evolve, they often become enmeshed in several different theoretical systems and acquire alternative operational definitions.

Pluto



Operationism as a Humanizing Force

Operationism as a Humanizing Force

- In science, the truth of a knowledge claim is not determined by the strength of belief of the individual putting forth the claim.

Operationism as a Humanizing Force

- In science, the truth of a knowledge claim is not determined by the strength of belief of the individual putting forth the claim.
- The problem with all intuitively based systems of belief is that they have no mechanism for deciding among conflicting claims.

Operationism as a Humanizing Force

Operationism as a Humanizing Force

- The humanizing force in science is that of making knowledge claims public so that conflicting ideas can be tested in a way that is acceptable to all disputants.

Operationism as a Humanizing Force

- The humanizing force in science is that of making knowledge claims public so that conflicting ideas can be tested in a way that is acceptable to all disputants.
- This allows a selection among theories to take place by peaceful mechanisms that we all agree on in advance.

Operationism as a Humanizing Force

- The humanizing force in science is that of making knowledge claims public so that conflicting ideas can be tested in a way that is acceptable to all disputants.
- This allows a selection among theories to take place by peaceful mechanisms that we all agree on in advance.
- The public nature of science rests critically on the idea of operationism.

Operationism as a Humanizing Force

- The humanizing force in science is that of making knowledge claims public so that conflicting ideas can be tested in a way that is acceptable to all disputants.
- This allows a selection among theories to take place by peaceful mechanisms that we all agree on in advance.
- The public nature of science rests critically on the idea of operationism.
- By operationally defining concepts, we put them in the public realm, where they can be criticized, tested, improved, or perhaps rejected.

Operationism as a Humanizing Force

Operationism as a Humanizing Force

- Such publicly accessible knowledge is available to solve human problems only when concepts have become grounded in operational definitions and are not the focus of essentialist arguments about the meaning of words.

Misunderstanding of Psychology

Misunderstanding of Psychology

- Psychology is not a religion. It is a broad field that seeks a scientific understanding of all aspects of behavior.

Misunderstanding of Psychology

- Psychology is not a religion. It is a broad field that seeks a scientific understanding of all aspects of behavior.
- Therefore, psychology's current explanations are temporary theoretical constructs that account for behavior better than alternative explanations.

Misunderstanding of Psychology

- Psychology is not a religion. It is a broad field that seeks a scientific understanding of all aspects of behavior.
- Therefore, psychology's current explanations are temporary theoretical constructs that account for behavior better than alternative explanations.
- These constructs will certainly be superseded in the future by superior theoretical conceptualizations that are closer to the truth.

Misunderstanding of Psychology

Misunderstanding of Psychology

- The idea of an operational definition can be a very useful tool in evaluating the falsifiability of a psychological theory.

Misunderstanding of Psychology

- The idea of an operational definition can be a very useful tool in evaluating the falsifiability of a psychological theory.
- The presence of concepts that are not directly or indirectly grounded in observable operations is an important clue to recognizing a nonfalsifiable theory.

Misunderstanding of Psychology

Misunderstanding of Psychology

- A principle that scientists term parsimony is relevant here.

Misunderstanding of Psychology

- A principle that scientists term parsimony is relevant here.
- The principle of parsimony dictates that when two theories have the same explanatory power, the simpler theory (the one involving fewer concepts and conceptual relationships) is preferred.

Misunderstanding of Psychology

- A principle that scientists term parsimony is relevant here.
- The principle of parsimony dictates that when two theories have the same explanatory power, the simpler theory (the one involving fewer concepts and conceptual relationships) is preferred.
- The reason is that the theory with fewer conceptual relationships will likely be the more falsifiable of the two in future tests.

Questions?